H. L. Sumner, Jr. Vice President Hatch Project Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. Post Office Box 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Tel 205.992.7279

July 13, 2005

SOUTHERN COMPANY

Energy to Serve Your World sa

NL-05-1141

Docket No.: 50-366

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555-0001

Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant
Licensee Event Report

Manual Reactor Scram in Response to Reactor Water Chemistry Event

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), Southern Nuclear Operating Company is submitting the enclosed Licensee Event Report on a manual reactor scram that was inserted in response to a reactor water chemistry event caused by a condenser tube leak.

This letter contains no NRC commitments. If you have any questions, please advise.

Sincerely,

H. L. Sumner, Jr.

HLS/OCV/daj

Enclosure: LER 2-2005-003

cc: Southern Nuclear Operating Company

Penis Summer

Mr. J. T. Gasser, Executive Vice President

Mr. G. R. Frederick, General Manager - Plant Hatch

RTYPE: CHA02.004

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Dr. W. D. Travers, Regional Administrator

Mr. C. Gratton, NRR Project Manager - Hatch

Mr. D. S. Simpkins, Senior Resident Inspector – Hatch

JE22

NRC FORM 366				U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIR					RES: 06/30/2007	
(See reverse for required number of										Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by Internet e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to Impose an Information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the							
												ollection.					
1. FACILITY NAME										2. DOCKET NUMBER					3. PAGE		
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant - Unit 2									ļ	05000-366				-	1 OF 4		
4. TITLE Manua	ıl Read	tor S	cran	n Inserted	l in Respon	se to Rea	ctor Wa	ter Che	emistry E	ent	Caus	sed by a C	ondenser Tub	e Le	eak		
5. E\	/ENT D	ATE		6. LER NUMBER				7. REPORT DATE			8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED						
монтн	DAY	YEAR	:	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	моитн	DAY	YEAR	FACILI	TY NA	YNAME			DOCKET NUMBER(S) 05000		
05	23	200	5	2005	003	0	07	13	2005	FACILI	FACILITY NAME			DOCKET NUMBER(S) 05000			
9. OPERATING MODE 11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 5 : (Check all that apply)											y)(y						
				20.2201(b)			20.2203(a)(3)(i)			_ _	50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)			
Mode 1			1	20.2201(d)			20.2203(a)(3)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)			
Wode 1				20.2203(a)(1)				20.2203(a)(4)			50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)			
			- ∟	20.2203(a)(21(i)				50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)			50	50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(al(2)(ix)(A)		
10. POWER LEVEL			\sqcap L	20-2203(a)(2)(ii)			50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)			X		50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)			50.73(al(2)(x)		
			<u> </u>		0-2203(a)(2)(iii)			50.36(c)(2)				50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)			73.71(a)(4)		
57%			_	20.2203(a)(2)(iv)				50.46(a)(3)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)			73.71(a)(5)		
			⊩	20.2203(a)(2)(v)				50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)				50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)			OTHER Specify In Abstract below		
20.2203(a)(2)(vi)						50.73	3)		50				or in NRC F				
					-		12. LICEN	SEE CO	NTACT FO	R THIS	LER				· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
FACILITY I	NAME						_					TELEPH	IONE NUMBER (Inc		- •		
Edwi	n I. H	atch /	/ Ka		Inderwood									2) 5	37-5931		
					13. COMPLET	E ONE LIN	FOR EAC	H COM	PONENT F	AILURE	DES	CRIBED IN T	HIS REPORT				
CAUSE	SYST	ЕМ	сом	PONENT	MANUFACTUR		ORTABLE O EPIX		rang garagan garagan sense dan separa	CAUSE S		SYSTEM	SYSTEM COMPONENT MAN		NUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX	
В	SC)	C	OND	G082		Y							1			

16. ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines)

YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)

14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED

On 5/23/2005 at 1747 EST, Unit 2 was in the Run mode at an approximate power level of 1598 CMWT (57 percent rated thermal power). At that time, Operations personnel manually scrammed the reactor per procedure 34GO-OPS-014-2, "Fast Reactor Shutdown." This procedure was entered because of a condenser tube leak that resulted in an undesirable reactor water chemistry trend. Following the manual scram, water level decreased due to void collapse from the rapid reduction in power, reaching a minimum of approximately thirteen inches below instrument zero (about 145 inches above the top of the active fuel). The decrease in water level resulted in receipt of a subsequent reactor scram, a Group 2 Primary Containment Isolation System (PCIS) isolation signal and thus closure of the Group 2 Primary Containment Isolation Valves per design. The operating Reactor Feedwater Pumps restored level to its designed set point. Personnel confirmed that all control rods fully inserted and reset the Group 2 isolation signal and restored the isolation valves to normal per procedure 34AB-C71-001-2, "Scram Procedure."

YEAR

MONTH

DAY

15. EXPECTED

SUBMISSION

DATE

The manual scram was initiated as the result of a condenser tube leak. An investigation determined that the condenser tube leak was caused by the loss of a plug that was installed previously in April 2005. The receipt of the Group 2 PCIS isolation and the subsequent reactor scram was the result of a vessel water level decrease due to void collapse from the rapid reduction in power following the insertion of the manual scram. The leaking condenser tube was re-plugged with a plug of a different design.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
		YEAR	,	REVISION NUMBER	
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	05000-366	2005	003	- 00	2 OF 4

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION

General Electric - Boiling Water Reactor Energy Industry Identification System codes appear in the text as (EIIS Code XX).

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

At approximately 1515 EST on 5/23/2005 the Unit 2 reactor water conductivity began increasing from approximately 0.1 umho/cm. The unit was operating at approximately 100 percent rated thermal power (RTP). At 1555 procedure 34AB-N61-001-2, "Condenser Tube Leaks/Chemical Intrusion" was entered. At 1605 EST the conductivity was approximately 0.98 umho/cm. At 1716 EST the operating crew entered the Fast Reactor Shutdown procedure and inserted a manual scram at 1747 EST at an approximate power level of 1598 CMWT (57 percent rated thermal power).

Following the manual scram, vessel water level decreased due to void collapse from the rapid reduction in power, reaching a minimum of approximately thirteen inches below instrument zero (about 145 inches above the top of the active fuel). The reduction in level resulted in a subsequent actuation of the Reactor Protection System (RPS, EIIS Code JC) and a Primary Containment Group 2 Isolation System (PCIS, EIIS Code JM) actuation. The Group 2 PCIS valves closed as designed. As a result of the preceding manual scram, the control rods (EIIS Code JD) were already fully inserted, therefore, the Reactor Protection System actuation on low water level did not result in control rod movement.

The operating Reactor Feedwater Pumps (EIIS Code SJ) automatically restored water level to its designed setpoint. Operations personnel confirmed that all control rods fully inserted per procedure 31GO-OPS-010-0, "Scram / Transient Analysis." Additionally, Operations personnel confirmed the Group 2 PCIS isolation valves closed as expected, reset the Group 2 isolation signal, and restored the isolation valves and the RPS system to their normal configurations per plant procedure 34AB-C71-001-2, "Scram Procedure."

Investigation of the event determined that a condenser tube (EIIS Code SQ) plug installed on a previously leaking condenser tube was missing. The tube plug on the leaking condenser tube was installed in April 2005 and was a Hennigan Engineering, HEPCO, Ultem (Plastic Nut and bolt) Double tube plug. Additional inspections determined that several of these tube plugs that were previously installed in other locations were also missing. The plugs that were recovered were found to be broken.

CAUSE OF EVENT

The manual scram was inserted due to an undesirable reactor water chemistry trend that resulted from a leaking condenser tube. This condenser tube leak was the result of a previously installed condenser tube plug failing. The tube plug on the leaking condenser tube was installed in April 2005 and was a Hennigan Engineering, HEPCO, Ultem (Plastic Nut and bolt) Double tube plug. Additional inspections determined that several of these tube plugs that were previously installed in other locations were also missing. The plugs that were recovered were found to be broken.

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET) 6	3. PAGE	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER	3 OF 4
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	05000-366	2005	003 00	

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

These additional failed plugs had been installed as precautionary measures in, for example, bent tubes or tubes with thinning walls that were not leaking. A failure analysis of the failed condenser tube plugs was performed. A microscopic examination of the failed bolts revealed that they failed because of significant amounts of internal center-wall voids that decreased the bolt strength. All of the broken bolts showed internal center-wall voids. Additionally, an examination of a bolt that was used but had not failed showed very few voids.

The Group 2 PCIS isolation and the subsequent RPS actuation were the result of a vessel water level decrease due to void collapse from the rapid reduction in power following the planned insertion of a manual scram. Upon insertion of the manual reactor scram at 57 percent power, water level decreased to below the Group 2 PCIS isolation setpoint resulting in receipt of a Group 2 PCIS isolation signal and closure of the Group 2 isolation valves per design.

REPORTABILITY ANALYSIS AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT

This report is required by 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(iv)(A) because of the unplanned manual scram and the unplanned actuation of Engineered Safety Features following the manual scram. The reactor coolant system (RCS) chemistry values are established by the plant's Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) Section T3.4.1. During this event the RCS chemistry was maintained within the limits established by the TRM. Following the manual scram, reactor vessel water level decreased due to void collapse. Level reached a minimum of about thirteen inches below instrument zero (about 145 inches above the top of the active fuel). The decrease in water level resulted in an automatic RPS actuation (all control rods were already inserted) and a Group 2 PCIS isolation on low water level and thus closure of the Group 2 Primary Containment Isolation Valves per design. The RPS and PCIS are Engineered Safety Feature systems.

The operating Reactor Feedwater Pumps automatically restored water to its designed setpoint. Operations personnel verified correct system response and restored the isolation valves and the RPS to their normal configuration.

All systems functioned as expected and per their design given the water level transient. Water level was maintained well above the top of the active fuel throughout the transient and was restored to its desired value without the need for emergency core cooling system actuation. Therefore, it is concluded the event had no adverse impact on nuclear safety. This analysis is applicable to all power levels.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The previously leaking condenser tube that was found to have a missing plug was stabilized and re-plugged using an extended tapered stainless steel tube plug. The other missing tube plugs were replaced with the original style of tube plug but utilizing a titanium nut and bolt rather than the Ultem material.

NRC FORM 366A

(1-2001)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	(3. PAGE		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	05000-366	2005	003	00	4 OF 4

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Other Systems Affected: No systems other than those already mentioned in this report were affected by this event.

Failed Components Information:

Master Parts List: 2N61-B001B Manufacturer: General Electric Manufacturer Code: G082 EIIS System Code: SQ

EIIS Component Code: COND

Root Cause Code: B Reportable to EPIX: Yes

Commitment Information: This report does not create any permanent licensing commitments.

There were no previous events in the last two years in which a condenser tube leak resulted in an unplanned manual scram. There has been one previous similar event in the past two years in which a planned manual reactor scram resulted in unplanned Engineered Safety Feature system actuations. In this event, reported in Licensee Event Report 50-366/2004-002, dated 11/23/2004, Unit 2 was scrammed manually with power level at approximately 35 percent rated thermal power. The resulting water level transient caused the Group 2 Primary Containment Isolation Valves to close on low reactor vessel water level. From this event, the Normal Plant Shutdown procedure was revised to provide guidance when the Group 2 isolation was expected.